# First model-independent results by DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

P. Belli INFN – Roma Tor Vergata

TBK

INFN and Univ. Pisa June 19, 2018

## DAMA set-ups an observatory for rare processes @ LNGS



## **Collaboration:**

Roma Tor Vergata, Roma La Sapienza, LNGS, IHEP/Beijing

- + by-products and small scale expts.: INR-Kiev + other institutions
- + neutron meas.: ENEA-Frascati, ENEA-Casaccia

+ in some studies on ββ decays (DST-MAE and Inter-Universities project): IIT Kharagpur and Ropar, India

web site: http://people.roma2.infn.it/dama

#### Main results obtained by DAMA in the search for rare processes

- First or improved results in the search for 2β decays of ~30 candidate isotopes: <sup>40</sup>Ca, <sup>46</sup>Ca, <sup>48</sup>Ca, <sup>64</sup>Zn, <sup>70</sup>Zn, <sup>100</sup>Mo, <sup>96</sup>Ru, <sup>104</sup>Ru, <sup>106</sup>Cd, <sup>108</sup>Cd, <sup>114</sup>Cd, <sup>116</sup>Cd, <sup>112</sup>Sn, <sup>124</sup>Sn, <sup>134</sup>Xe, <sup>136</sup>Xe, <sup>130</sup>Ba, <sup>136</sup>Ce, <sup>138</sup>Ce, <sup>138</sup>Ce, <sup>142</sup>Ce, <sup>156</sup>Dy, <sup>158</sup>Dy, <sup>180</sup>W, <sup>186</sup>W, <sup>184</sup>Os, <sup>192</sup>Os, <sup>190</sup>Pt and <sup>198</sup>Pt (observed 2v2β decay in <sup>100</sup>Mo, <sup>116</sup>Cd)
- The best experimental sensitivities in the field for  $2\beta$  decays with positron emission (<sup>106</sup>Cd)



#### The Dark Side of the Universe: experimental evidences ... First evidence and confirmations:

Zwicky writes (1933): "If this [overdensity] is confirmed we would arrive at the astonishing conclusion that dark matter is present [in Coma] with a much greater density than luminous matter. From these considerations it follows that the large velocity dispersion in Coma (and in other clusters of galaxies) represents an unsolved problem."

**√**...



two groups: systematical analysis of mass

density vs distance from center in many galaxies

#### COMA Cluster



## **Other experimental evidences**

- ✓ from LMC motion around Galaxy
- ✓ from X-ray emitting gases surrounding elliptical galaxies

✓ from hot intergalactic plasma velocity distribution in clusters

✓ bullet cluster 1E0657-558

 $<< M_{gravitational effect} \Rightarrow$  about 90% of the mass is DARK



### **Relic DM particles from primordial Universe**



+ DM candidates and scenarios exist (even for neutralino candidate) on which accelerators cannot give any information

some of the possible DM candidates

DM direct detection method using a model independent approach and a low-background widely-sensitive target material



Dark Matter particle solution...

## Some direct detection processes:



## The annual modulation: a model independent signature for the investigation of DM particles component in the galactic halo

With the present technology, the annual modulation is the main model independent signature for the DM signal. Although the modulation effect is expected to be relatively small a suitable large-mass, low-radioactive set-up with an efficient control of the running conditions can point out its presence.

#### Requirements:

- 1) Modulated rate according cosine
- 2) In low energy range
- 3) With a proper period (1 year)
- 4) With proper phase (about 2 June)
- 5) Just for single hit events in a multidetector set-up
- 6) With modulation amplitude in the region of maximal sensitivity must be <7% for usually adopted halo distributions, but it can be larger in case of some possible scenarios



the DM annual modulation signature has a different origin and peculiarities (e.g. the phase) than those effects correlated with the seasons

To mimic this signature, spurious effects and side reactions must not only - obviously - be able to account for the whole observed modulation amplitude, but also to satisfy contemporaneously all the requirements

## The pioneer DAMA/Nal: ≈100 kg highly radiopure Nal(TI)

Performances:

N.Cim.A112(1999)545-575, EPJC18(2000)283, Riv.N.Cim.26 n. 1(2003)1-73, IJMPD13(2004)2127

#### Results on rare processes:

- Possible Pauli exclusion principle violation
- CNC processes
- Electron stability and non-paulian transitions in lodine atoms (by L-shell)
- Search for solar axions
- Exotic Matter search
- Search for superdense nuclear matter
- Search for heavy clusters decays

#### Results on DM particles:

- PSD
- Investigation on diurnal effect
- Exotic Dark Matter search
- Annual Modulation Signature

PLB408(1997)439 PRC60(1999)065501

PLB460(1999)235 PLB515(2001)6 EPJdirect C14(2002)1 EPJA23(2005)7 EPJA24(2005)51

PLB389(1996)757 N.Cim.A112(1999)1541 PRL83(1999)4918



PLB424(1998)195, PLB450(1999)448, PRD61(1999)023512, PLB480(2000)23, EPJC18(2000)283, PLB509(2001)197, EPJC23(2002)61, PRD66(2002)043503, Riv.N.Cim.26 n.1 (2003)1, IJMPD13(2004)2127, IJMPA21(2006)1445, EPJC47(2006)263, IJMPA22(2007)3155, EPJC53(2008)205, PRD77(2008)023506, MPLA23(2008)2125

Model independent evidence of a particle DM component in the galactic halo at  $6.3\sigma$  C.L.

total exposure (7 annual cycles) 0.29 ton×yr

## The DAMA/LIBRA set-up ~250 kg NaI(Tl) (Large sodium Iodide Bulk for RAre processes)



As a result of a 2nd generation R&D for more radiopure Nal(Tl) by exploiting new chemical/physical radiopurification techniques (all operations involving - including photos - in HP Nitrogen atmosphere)



Residual contaminations in the new DAMA/LIBRA Nal(TI) detectors: <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>40</sup>K at level of 10<sup>-12</sup> g/g





- Radiopurity, performances, procedures, etc.: NIMA592(2008)297, JINST 7 (2012) 03009
- ▶ Results on DM particles,
  - Annual Modulation Signature: EPJC56(2008)333, EPJC67(2010)39, EPJC73(2013)2648.

 Related results: PRD84(2011)055014, EPJC72(2012)2064, IJMPA28(2013)1330022, EPJC74(2014)2827, EPJC74(2014)3196, EPJC75(2015)239, EPJC75(2015)400, IJMPA31(2016) dedicated issue, EPJC77(2017)83
 Results on rare processes: o PEPv: EPJC62(2009)327,

- arXiv1712.08082;
- o CNC: EPJC72(2012)1920;
- o IPP in <sup>241</sup>Am: EPJA49(2013)64

DAMA/LIBRA–phase1 (7 annual cycles, 1.04 ton×yr) confirmed the model-independent evidence of DM: reaching 9.3σ C.L.

## DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

## Upgrade on Nov/Dec 2010: all PMTs replaced with new ones of higher Q.E.





#### JINST 7(2012)03009







Q.E. of the new PMTs: 33 - 39% @ 420 nm 36 - 44% @ peak



## DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

#### Lowering software energy threshold below 2 keV:

- to study the nature of the particles and features of astrophysical, nuclear and particle physics aspects, and to investigate 2<sup>nd</sup> order effects
- special data taking for other rare processes





The contaminations:

	<sup>226</sup> Ra (Bq/kg)	<sup>235</sup> U (mBq/kg)	<sup>228</sup> Ra (Bq/kg)	<sup>228</sup> Th (mBq/kg)	<sup>40</sup> K (Bq/kg)
Mean Contamination	0.43	47	0.12	83	0.54
Standard Deviation	0.06	10	0.02	17	0.16

#### The light responses:

DAMA/LIBRA-phase1: DAMA/LIBRA-phase2:

5.5 – 7.5 ph.e./keV 6-10 ph.e./keV

#### The DAMA/LIBRA–phase2 set-up NIMA592(2008)297, JINST 7(2012)03009, IJMPA31(2017)issue31

- 25 x 9.7 kg NaI(TI) in a 5x5 matrix
- two Suprasil-B light guides directly coupled to each bare crystal
- two new high Q.E. PMTs for each crystal working in coincidence at the single ph. el. threshold
- 6-10 phe/keV; 1 keV software energy threshold





- Whole setup decoupled from ground
- Fragmented set-up: single-hit events = each detector has all the others as anticoincidence
- Dismounting/Installing protocol in HPN<sub>2</sub>
- All the materials selected for low radioactivity
- Multiton-multicomponent passive shield (>10 cm of OFHC Cu, 15 cm of boliden Pb + Cd foils, 10/40 cm Polyethylene/paraffin, about 1 m concrete, mostly outside the installation)
- Three-level system to exclude Radon from the detectors
- Calibrations in the same running conditions as prod runs
- Never neutron source in DAMA installations
- Installation in air conditioning + huge heat capacity of shield
- Monitoring/alarm system; many parameters acquired with the production data
- Pulse shape recorded by Waweform Analyzer Acqiris DC270 (2chs per detector), 1 Gs/s, 8 bit, bandwidth 250 MHz both for single-hit and multiple-hit events
- Data collected from low energy up to MeV region, despite the hardware optimization for low energy
- DAQ with optical readout
- New electronic modules



### Noise rejection in phase2

 Comparison of the noise and the scintillation pulses distributions in 1-3 keV and 3-6 keV

JINST 7(2012)03009

- production data vs  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  source
- scintillation events well separated from noise

X<sub>1</sub>=Area(from 100 to 600 ns)/Area from 0 to 600 ns) X<sub>2</sub>=Area(from 0 to 50 ns)/Area from 0 to 600 ns)





1.2

## DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 data taking

#### Second upgrade at end of 2010:

#### all PMTs replaced with new ones of higher Q.E.

Energy resolution @ 60 keV mean value:

prev. PMTs 7.5% (0.6% RMS)

new HQE PMTs 6.7% (0.5% RMS)



✓ Fall 2012: new preamplifiers installe + special trigger modules.

#### ✓ Calibrations 6 a.c.: ≈ $1.3 \times 10^8$ events from sources

✓ Acceptance window eff. 6 a.c.:  $\approx 3.4 \times 10$ events ( $\approx 1.4 \times 10^5$ events/keV)

	Annual Cycles	Period	Mass (kg)	Exposure	(α-β²)		
D12: new	I	Dec 23, 2010 - Sept. 9, 2011	commissioning				
plifiers installed ial trigger	II	Nov. 2, 2011 - Sept. 11, 2012	242.5	62917	0.519		
es.	III	Oct. 8, 2012 - Sept. 2, 2013	242.5	60586	0.534		
ations 6 a.c.: ≈ .0 <sup>8</sup> events from	IV	Sept. 8, 2013 - Sept. 1, 2014	242.5	73792	0.479		
25	V	Sept. 1, 2014 - Sept. 9, 2015	242.5	71180	0.486		
tance window a.c.: ≈ 3.4 × 10 <sup>6</sup> 3 (≈1.4 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	VI	Sept. 10, 2015 - Aug. 24, 2016	242.5	67527	0.522		
s/keV)	VII	Sept. 7, 2016 - Sept. 25, 2017	242.5	75135	0.480		
Exposure first data release of DAMA/LIBRA-phase2: 1.13 ton x yr							
European DAMA/NIT, DAMA/ITDDA share1, share2, 2.46 ten source							

Exposure DAMA/NaI+DAMA/LIBRA-phase1+phase2:

2.46 ton x yr



## **DM model-independent Annual Modulation Result**

experimental residuals of the single-hit scintillation events rate vs time and energy



-0.04

-0.06

6250

6500

6750

7000

7250

7500

7750

Absence of modulation? No

- 1-3 keV:  $\chi^2$ /dof=127/52  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 3×10<sup>-8</sup>
- 1-6 keV:  $\chi^2$ /dof=150/52  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 2×10<sup>-11</sup>
- 2-6 keV:  $\chi^2$ /dof=116/52  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) = 8×10<sup>-7</sup>

#### Fit on DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

Acos[ $\omega$ (t-t<sub>0</sub>)]; continuous lines:  $t_0 = 152.5 d$ , T = 1.00 y

#### 1-3 keV

A=(0.0184±0.0023) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 61.3/51 **8.0**  $\sigma$  **C.L.** 

#### 1-6 keV

A=(0.0105±0.0011) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 50.0/51 **9.5**  $\sigma$  **C.L.** 

#### 2-6 keV

A=(0.0095±0.0011) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 42.5/51 **8.6**  $\sigma$  **C.L.** 

The data of DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 favor the presence of a modulated behavior with proper features at 9.5 oc.L.

8250 Time (day)

8000

## **DM model-independent Annual Modulation Result**

experimental residuals of the single-hit scintillation events rate vs time and energy

#### DAMA/LIBRA-phase1+DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 (2.17 ton × yr)



Absence of modulation? No

• 2-6 keV:  $\chi^2$ /dof=199.3/102  $\Rightarrow$  P(A=0) =2.9×10<sup>-8</sup>

Fit on DAMA/LIBRA-phase1+ DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 Acos[ $\omega$ (t-t<sub>0</sub>)]; continuous lines: t<sub>0</sub> = 152.5 d, T = 1.00 y **2-6 keV** A=(0.0095±0.0008) cpd/kg/keV  $\chi^2$ /dof = 71.8/101 **11.9\sigma C.L.** 

The data of DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 +DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 favor the presence of a modulated behavior with proper features at 11.9 σ C.L.

## **Releasing period (T) and phase (t<sub>0</sub>) in the fit**

	ΔΕ	A(cpd/kg/keV)	T=2π/ω (yr)	t <sub>o</sub> (day)	C.L.
	(1-3) keV	0.0184±0.0023	1.0000±0.0010	153±7	8.0σ
DAMA/LIBRA-ph2	(1-6) keV	0.0106±0.0011	0.9993±0.0008	148±6	<b>9.6</b> σ
	(2-6) keV	0.0096±0.0011	0.9989±0.0010	145±7	<b>8.7</b> σ
DAMA/LIBRA-ph1 + DAMA/LIBRA-ph2	(2-6) keV	0.0096±0.0008	0.9987±0.0008	145±5	<b>12.0</b> σ
DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-ph1 + DAMA/LIBRA-ph2	(2-6) keV	0.0103±0.0008	0.9987±0.0008	145±5	<b>12.9</b> σ

#### $Acos[\omega(t-t_0)]$

DAMA/Nal (0.29 ton x yr) DAMA/LIBRA-ph1 (1.04 ton x yr) DAMA/LIBRA-ph2 (1.13 ton x yr)

total exposure = 2.46 ton×yr

## Rate behaviour above 6 keV

DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

#### No Modulation above 6 keV



No modulation above 6 keV This accounts for all sources of bckg and is consistent with the studies on the various components

## **DM model-independent Annual Modulation Result**

#### DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 (1.13 ton × yr)

#### Multiple hits events = Dark Matter particle "switched off"



#### Single hit residual rate (red) vs Multiple hit residual rate (green)

- Clear modulation in the single hit events;
- No modulation in the residual rate of the multiple hit events

This result offers an additional strong support for the presence of DM particles in the galactic halo further excluding any side effect either from hardware or from software procedures or from background

## The analysis in frequency

(according to PRD75 (2007) 013010)

To perform the Fourier analysis of the data in a wide region of frequency, the single-hit scintillation events have been grouped in 1 day bins



Clear annual modulation in (2-6) keV + only aliasing peaks far from signal region

## **Energy distribution of the modulation amplitudes**

Max-likelihood analysis

$$R(t) = S_0 + S_m \cos\left[\omega\left(t - t_0\right)\right]$$

DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 vs DAMA/LIBRA-phase2



The two  $S_m$  energy distributions obtained in DAMA/Nal+DAMA/LIBRA-ph1 and in DAMA/LIBRA-ph2 are consistent in the (2–20) keV energy interval:

$x^2 = \sum \{x = x \}^2 \{1 = 2 = 2\}$	(2-20) keV	χ²/d.o.f.=32.7/36	(P=63%)
$\chi^2 = \Sigma (r_1 - r_2)^2 / (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$	(2-6) keV	χ²/d.o.f.=10.7/8	(P=22%)

## **Energy distribution of the modulation amplitudes**

Max-likelihood analysis



DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 + DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 (2.46 ton×yr)



A clear modulation is present in the (1-6) keV energy interval, while  $S_m$  values compatible with zero are present just above

- The  $S_m$  values in the (6–14) keV energy interval have random fluctuations around zero with  $\chi^2$  equal to 19.0 for 16 degrees of freedom (upper tail probability 27%).
- In (6–20) keV χ<sup>2</sup>/dof = 42.6/28 (upper tail probability 4%). The obtained χ<sup>2</sup> value is rather large due mainly to two data points, whose centroids are at 16.75 and 18.25 keV, far away from the (1–6) keV energy interval. The P-values obtained by excluding only the first and either the points are 11% and 25%.

## **S**<sub>m</sub> for each annual cycle



The signal is well distributed over all the annual cycles in each energy bin

## $\boldsymbol{S}_m$ for each detector



DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 + DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 total exposure: 2.17 ton×yr

 $S_m$  integrated in the range (2 - 6) keV for each of the 25 detectors (1 $\sigma$  error)

Shaded band = weighted averaged  $S_m \pm 1\sigma$ 

 $\chi^2$ /dof = 23.9/24 d.o.f.

The signal is well distributed over all the 25 detectors.

### **External vs internal detectors:**

#### DAMA/LIBRA-phase2



#### Is there a sinusoidal contribution in the signal? Phase $\neq$ 152.5 day?

$$R(t) = S_0 + S_m \cos[\omega(t - t_0)] + Z_m \sin[\omega(t - t_0)] = S_0 + Y_m \cos[\omega(t - t^*)]$$



$$R(t) = S_0 + S_m \cos[\omega(t - t_0)] + Z_m \sin[\omega(t - t_0)] = S_0 + Y_m \cos[\omega(t - t^*)]$$

#### $2\sigma$ contours



DAMA/NaI + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 + DAMA/LIBRA-phase2

total exposure: 2.46 ton × yr

For Dark Matter induced signals:  $|Z_m| \ll |Y_m| \approx |S_m|$  $t^* \approx t_0 = 152.5d$  $\omega = 2\pi/T$ T = 1 year

Slight differences from 2<sup>nd</sup> June are expected in case of contributions from non thermalized DM components (as the SagDEG stream)

### Phase vs energy

$$R(t) = S_0 + Y_m \cos\left[\omega\left(t - t^*\right)\right]$$

DAMA/Nal + DAMA/LIBRA-phase1 + DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 (2.46 ton × yr)



Slight differences from 2<sup>nd</sup> June are expected in case of contributions from non thermalized DM components (as the SagDEG stream)



## **Stability parameters of DAMA/LIBRA-phase2**

Modulation amplitudes obtained by fitting the time behaviours of main running parameters, acquired with the production data, when including a DM-like modulation

#### Running conditions stable at a level better than 1% also in the new running periods

	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_2	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_3	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_4	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_5	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_6	DAMA/LIBRA- phase2_7
Temperature (°C)	$(0.0012 \pm 0.0051)$	$-(0.0002 \pm 0.0049)$	$-(0.0003 \pm 0.0031)$	$(0.0009 \pm 0.0050)$	$(0.0018 \pm 0.0036)$	$-(0.0006 \pm 0.0035)$
Flux N <sub>2</sub> (l/h)	$-(0.15 \pm 0.18)$	$-(0.02 \pm 0.22)$	$-(0.02 \pm 0.12)$	$-(0.02 \pm 0.14)$	$-(0.01 \pm 0.10)$	$-(0.01 \pm 0.16)$
Pressure (mbar)	$(1.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	$(0.2 \pm 1.1)$ )×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$(2.4 \pm 5.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$(0.6 \pm 6.2) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.5 \pm 6.3) \times 10^{-3}$	$(7.2 \pm 8.6) \times 10^{-3}$
Radon (Bq/m <sup>3</sup> )	$(0.015 \pm 0.034)$	$-(0.002 \pm 0.050)$	$-(0.009 \pm 0.028)$	$-(0.044 \pm 0.050)$	$(0.082 \pm 0.086)$	$(0.06 \pm 0.11)$
Hardware rate above single ph.e. (Hz)	$-(0.12 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$	$(0.00 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$	$-(0.14 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$	$-(0.05 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$	$-(0.06 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$	$-(0.08 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-2}$

All the measured amplitudes well compatible with zero + none can account for the observed effect (to mimic such signature, spurious effects and side reactions must not only be able to account for the whole observed modulation amplitude, but also simultaneously satisfy all the 6 requirements)



Contributions to the total neutron flux at LNGS;
 Counting rate in DAMA/LIBRA for single-hit events, in the (2 - 6) keV energy region induced by:

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \Phi_k = \Phi_{0,k} \left( 1 + \eta_k \cos\omega \left( t - t_k \right) \right) \\ P_k = R_{0,k} \left( 1 + \eta_k \cos\omega \left( t - t_k \right) \right) \end{array}$$

Modulation amplitudes

- $\succ$  neutrons,
- $\succ$  muons,
- solar neutrinos.

EPJC 74 (2014) 3196 (also EPJC 56 (2008) 333, EPJC 72 (2012) 2064,IJMPA 28 (2013) 1330022)

		0.2						
	Source	$\Phi^{(n)}_{0,k}$ (neutrons cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\eta_k$	$t_k$	$  \frac{R_{0,k}}{(cpd/kg/keV)}  $		$A_k = R_{0,k} \eta_k \ ( ext{cpd/kg/keV})$	$A_k/S_m^{exp}$
	thermal n $(10^{-2} - 10^{-1} \text{ eV})$	$1.08 \times 10^{-6}$ [15]	$ \begin{array}{c} \simeq 0 \\ \mathrm{however} \ll 0.1 \ [2, \ 7, \ 8] \end{array} $	7	$< 8 \times 10^{-6}$	[2, 7, 8]	$\ll 8 \times 10^{-7}$	$\ll 7 \times 10^{-5}$
SLOW								
neutrons	epithermal n	$2 \times 10^{-6}$ [15]	$\simeq 0$		$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	[2, 7, 8]	$\ll 3 \times 10^{-4}$	≪ 0.03
CARD STREET	(eV-keV)		however $\ll 0.1 [2, 7, 8]$					
	fission, $(\alpha, n) \rightarrow n$ (1-10 MeV)	$\simeq 0.9 \times 10^{-7}$ [17]	$\simeq 0$ however $\ll 0.1$ [2, 7, 8]	<del></del> .	$< 6 \times 10^{-4}$	[2, 7, 8]	$\ll 6 \times 10^{-5}$	$\ll 5 \times 10^{-3}$
FAST	$\mu \rightarrow n \text{ from rock}$ (> 10 MeV)	$\simeq 3 \times 10^{-9}$ (see text and ref. [12])	0.0129 [23]	end of June [23, 7, 8]	$\ll 7 \times 10^{-4}$	(see text and $[2, 7, 8]$ )	$\ll 9 \times 10^{-6}$	$\ll 8 \times 10^{-4}$
neutrons	$\mu \rightarrow n$ from Pb shield (> 10 MeV)	$\simeq 6 \times 10^{-9}$ (see footnote 3)	0.0129 [23]	end of June [23, 7, 8]	$\ll 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	(see text and footnote 3)	$\ll 2 \times 10^{-5}$	$\ll 1.6\times 10^{-3}$
	u  ightarrow n  (few MeV)	$\simeq 3 \times 10^{-10}$ (see text)	0.03342 *	Jan. 4th *	$\ll 7 \times 10^{-5}$	(see text)	$\ll 2 \times 10^{-6}$	$\ll 2 \times 10^{-4}$
	direct $\mu$	$\Phi_0^{(\mu)} \simeq 20 \ \mu \ { m m}^{-2} { m d}^{-1} \ [20]$	0.0129 [23]	end of June [23, 7, 8]	$\simeq 10^{-7}$	[2, 7, 8]	$\simeq 10^{-9}$	$\simeq 10^{-7}$
	direct $\nu$	$\Phi_0^{(\nu)} \simeq 6 \times 10^{10} \ \nu \ {\rm cm}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1} \ [26]$	0.03342 *	Jan. 4th *	$\simeq 10^{-5}$	[31]	$3  imes 10^{-7}$	$3 \times 10^{-5}$

\* The annual modulation of solar neutrino is due to the different Sun-Earth distance along the year; so the relative modulation amplitude is twice the eccentricity of the Earth orbit and the phase is given by the perihelion.

All are negligible w.r.t. the annual modulation amplitude observed by DAMA/LIBRA K and they cannot contribute to the observed modulation amplitude.

+ In no case neutrons (of whatever origin) can mimic the DM annual modulation signature since some of the **peculiar requirements of the signature** would fail, such as the neutrons would induce e.g. variations in all the energy spectrum, variation in the multiple hit events,... which were not observed.

## Summary of the results obtained in the additional investigations of possible systematics or side reactions – DAMA/LIBRA

NIMA592(2008)297, EPJC56(2008)333, J. Phys. Conf. ser. 203(2010)012040, arXiv:0912.0660, S.I.F.Atti Conf.103(211), Can. J. Phys. 89 (2011) 11, Phys.Proc.37(2012)1095, EPJC72(2012)2064, arxiv:1210.6199 & 1211.6346, IJMPA28(2013)1330022, EPJC74(2014)3196, IJMPA31(2017)issue31

Source	Main comment	Cautious upper limit (90%C.L.)
RADON	Sealed Cu box in HP Nitrogen atmosphere, 3-level of sealing, etc.	<2.5×10 <sup>-6</sup> cpd/kg/keV
TEMPERATURE	Installation is air conditioned+ detectors in Cu housings directly in contact with multi-ton shield→ huge heat capacity + T continuously recorded	<10 <sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV
NOISE	Effective full noise rejection near threshold	<10 <sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV
ENERGY SCALE	Routine + intrinsic calibrations	<1-2 ×10 <sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV
EFFICIENCIES	Regularly measured by dedicated calibrations	<10 <sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV
BACKGROUND	No modulation above 6 keV; no modulation in the (2-6) keV <i>multiple-hits</i> events; this limit includes all possible sources of background	<10 <sup>-4</sup> cpd/kg/keV
SIDE REACTIONS	Muon flux variation measured at LNGS	<3×10 <sup>-5</sup> cpd/kg/keV
		and the second second

+ they cannot satisfy all the requirements of annual modulation signature



## Model-independent evidence by DAMA/Nal and DAMA/LIBRA-ph1, -ph2



## Model-independent evidence by DAMA/Nal and DAMA/LIBRA-ph1, -ph2



#### Is it an "universal" and "correct" way to approach the problem of DM and comparisons?



No, it isn't. This is just a largely arbitrary/partial/incorrect exercise



#### ...models...

- Which particle?
- Which interaction coupling?
- Which Form Factors for each target-material?
- Which Spin Factor?
- Which nuclear model framework?
- Which scaling law?
- Which halo model, profile and related parameters?
- Streams?
- ...

## About interpretations and comparisons

See e.g.: Riv.N.Cim.26 n.1(2003)1, IJMPD13(2004)2127, EPJC47(2006)263, IJMPA21(2006)1445, EPJC56(2008)333, PRD84(2011)055014, IJMPA28(2013)1330022

- ...and experimental aspects...
- Exposures
- Energy threshold
- Detector response (phe/keV)
- Energy scale and energy resolution
- Calibrations
- Stability of all the operating conditions.
- Selections of detectors and of data.
- Subtraction/rejection procedures and stability in time of all the selected windows and related quantities
- Efficiencies
- Definition of fiducial volume and nonuniformity
- Quenching factors, channeling, ...

Uncertainty in experimental parameters, as well as necessary assumptions on various related astrophysical, nuclear and particle-physics aspects, affect all the results at various extent, both in terms of exclusion plots and in terms of allowed regions/volumes. Thus comparisons with a fixed set of assumptions and parameters' values are intrinsically strongly uncertain.

#### No experiment can be directly compared in model independent way with DAMA

## example...

#### case of DM particles inducing elastic scatterings on target-nuclei, SI case



#### Regions in the nucleon cross section vs DM particle mass plane

- Some velocity distributions and uncertainties considered.
- The DAMA regions represent the domain where the likelihood-function values differ more than 7.5σ from the null hypothesis (absence of modulation).
- For CoGeNT a fixed value for the Ge quenching factor and a Helm form factor with fixed parameters are assumed.
- The CoGeNT region includes configurations whose likelihood-function values differ more than 1.64σ from the null hypothesis (absence of modulation). This corresponds roughly to 90% C.L. far from zero signal.



#### Scratching Below the Surface of the Most General Parameter Space (S. Scopel arXiv:1505.01926)

Most general approach: consider ALL possible NR couplings, including those depending on velocity and momentum

 $\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathbf{1}_{\chi} \mathbf{1}_N,$  $\mathcal{O}_2 = (v^{\perp})^2,$ • A much wider  $\mathcal{O}_3 = i \vec{S}_N \cdot \left( \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N} \times \vec{v}^\perp \right),$ parameter space opens  $\mathcal{O}_4 = \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{S}_N,$ Up  $\mathcal{O}_5 = i \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{q}}{m_{\nu}} \times \vec{v}^{\perp}\right),$ • First  $\mathcal{O}_6 = \left(\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right) \left(\vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right)$ explorations show that  $\mathcal{O}_7 = \vec{S}_N \cdot \vec{v}^\perp$ indeed large  $\mathcal{O}_8 = \vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \vec{v}^{\perp},$ rooms for  $\mathcal{O}_9 = i \,\vec{S}_{\chi} \cdot \left(\vec{S}_N \times \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N}\right),$ compatibility can be  $\mathcal{O}_{10} = i \vec{S}_N \cdot \frac{\vec{q}}{m_N},$ achieved  $\mathcal{O}_{11} = i\vec{S}_{\chi}\cdot\frac{\vec{q}}{m_{\chi}}.$ 

... and much more considering experimental and theoretical uncertainties Other examples

DMp with preferred inelastic interaction:  $\chi^+ + N \rightarrow \chi^+ + N$ 

• iDM mass states  $\chi^+$ ,  $\chi^-$  with  $\delta$  mass splitting • Kinematic constraint for iDM:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu v^2 \ge \delta \Leftrightarrow v \ge v_{thr} = \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{\mu}}$$

DAMA/Nal+DAMA/LIBRA Slices from the 3d allowed volume in given scenario



#### iDM interaction on TI nuclei of the Nal(TI) dopant? PRL106(2011)011301 For large splittings, the dominant scattering in Nal(TI) can occur off of Thallium nuclei, with A~205, which are present as a dopant at the

• large splittings do not give rise to sizeable contribution on Na, I, Ge, Xe, Ca, O, ... nuclei.

#### Mirror Dark Matter

10<sup>-3</sup> level in Nal(TI) crystals.

Asymmetric mirror matter: mirror parity spontaneously boken ⇒ mirror sector becomes a heavier and deformed copy of ordinary sector (See EPJC75(2015)400)

- Interaction portal: photon mirror photon kinetic mixing  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}F^{\mu\nu}F'_{\mu\nu}$
- mirror atom scattering of the ordinary target nuclei in the Nal(Tl) detectors of DAMA/LIBRA set-up with the Rutherford-like cross sections.

 $\sqrt{f} \cdot \epsilon$ 

coupling const. and fraction of mirror atom



## Running phase2 and towards future DAMA/LIBRA-phase3 with software energy threshold below 1 keV

Enhancing sensitivities for DM corollary aspects, other DM features, second order effects and other rare processes:

- The light collection of the detectors can further be improved
- Light yields and the energy thresholds will improve accordingly
- The electronics can be improved too
- R&D towards possible DAMA/LIBRA-phase3 continuing:
  - new development of high Q.E. PMTs with increased radio-purity to directly couple them to the crystals.
  - 2 new protocols for possible modifications of the detectors;
  - ③ alternative strategies under investigation.
  - Other possible option: new ULB crystal scintillators (e.g. ZnWO<sub>4</sub>) placed in between the DAMA/LIBRA detectors to add also a high sensitivity directionality measurement.

The presently-reached metallic PMTs features:

- Q.E. around 35-40% @ 420 nm (NaI(TI) light)
- Radio-purity at level of 5 mBq/PMT (<sup>40</sup>K), 3-4 mBq/PMT (<sup>232</sup>Th), 3-4 mBq/PMT (<sup>238</sup>U), 1 mBq/PMT (<sup>226</sup>Ra), 2 mBq/PMT (<sup>60</sup>Co).









4 prototypes from a dedicated R&D with HAMAMATSU at hand

## Conclusions

- Model-independent positive evidence for the presence of DM particles in the galactic halo at 12.9σ C.L. (20 independent annual cycles with 3 different set-ups: 2.46 ton × yr)
- Modulation parameters determined with increasing precision
- New investigations on different peculiarities of the DM signal exploited in progress
- Full sensitivity to many kinds of DM candidates and interactions types (both inducing recoils and/or e.m. radiation), full sensitivity to low and high mass candidates





- DAMA/LIBRA-phase2 continuing data taking
- DAMA/LIBRA—phase3 R&D in progress
- R&D for a possible DAMA/1ton full sensitive mass set-up, proposed to INFN by DAMA since 1996, continuing at some extent as well as some other R&Ds
- New corollary analyses in progress
- Continuing investigations of rare processes other than DM